

## Cousin

# MISTORY OF MODERN PUBLICSOPHY

B792 C6 v.2



### COURSE OF THE HISTORY

01

## MODERN PHILOSOPHY.

BY M. VICTOR COUSIN.

TRANSLATED BY O W. WIGHT.

IN TWO VOLUMES.

VOL. II.

NEW YORK:
D. APPLETON AND COMPANY,
1, 8, AND 5 BOND STREET.
1893.

B792 C6 V.Z

> Entered according to Act of Congress, in the year 1852, By D. APPLETON & CO.,

In the Clerk's Office of the District Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.



#### CONTENTS.

#### LECTURE IX.

#### SCHOLASTIC PHILOSOPHY.

#### LECTURE X.

#### PHILOSOPHY OF THE PERIOD OF THE REVIVAL.

Subject of this lecture: philosophy of the fifteenth and of the sixteenth centuries.—Its character and its origin.—Classification of all its systems into four schools. 1st, Platonic idealistic school: Marsilio Ficino, the Picos of Mirandola, Ramus, Patrizzi, Giordano Bruno.—2d, Peripatetic sensualistic school: Pomponatius, Achillini, Cesalpini, Vanini, Telesio, Campanella.—3d, Skeptic school: Sanchez, Montaigne, Charron.—4th, Mystic school: Marsilio Ficino, the Picos, Nicholaus Cusanus, Reuchlin, Agrippa, Paracelsus, Society of the Rosicrucians, Robert Fludd, Van Helmont, Böhme.—Comparison of the four schools.—Conclusion.——43

#### LECTURE XI.

MODERN PHILOSOPHY. SEVENTEENTH CENTURY. SENSUALISM
AND IDEALISM.

#### LECTURE XII.

MODERN PHILOSOPHY. SEVENTEENTH CENTURY. SKEPTICISM AND MYSTICISM.

Struggle between sensualism and idealism. Leibnitz: an attempt at a conciliation which is resolved into idealism.—Skepticism: Huet, Hirnhaim, Glanville, Pascal, Lamothe Le Vayer, Bayle.—Mysticism: Mercurius Van Helmont, More, Pordage, Poiret, Swedenborg.—Conclusion. Entrance into the second age of modern philosophy, or philosophy of the eighteenth century properly so called.

#### SECOND SERIES-VOL. III.

#### LECTURE XIII.

CLASSIFICATION OF THE SCHOOLS OF THE EIGHTEENTH CENTURY.

Of the method of observation and of induction in history.—That induction, resting upon the observation of all the anterior facts in the philosophy of history, divides at first the philosophy of the eighteenth century into four systems.—Confirmation of induction by facts.—Division of the European schools of the eighteenth century into four schools: sensualistic, idealistic, skeptical, mystical. Division of this course into four corresponding parts.—Order of the development of these four schools, and consequently the order to follow in their exposition.—Spirit of this course.—Its last aim. 125

#### LECTURE XIV.

SENSUALISTIC SCHOOL IN THE EIGHTEENTH CENTURY.

Subject of this lecture: Review of the different systems of the sensualistic school in Europe during the eighteenth century, in England, France, and Germany.—That, even for the sake of fidelity, the historian should attach himself to the most celebrated systems.—In what order must they studied? Ethnographical method. Three objections: 1st, arbitrary; 2d, shows not the concatenation, the reciprocal action of systems; 3d, unfavorable to scientific instruction.—Of the true method of its characters: To follow at once the dates of systems, their reciprocal dependence, and the analogy of subjects.—To commence with the metaphysics of Locke... 143

#### LECTURE XV.

LOCKE. HIS LIFE.

Locke: his biography.—Sprang from a liberal family.—His first studies.—
Descartes disgusts him with scholasticism.—He pays particular attention to

#### LECTURE XVI.

ESSAY ON THE HUMAN UNDERSTANDING. ITS SPIRIT. ITS METHOD.

#### LECTURE XVII.

ESSAY. FIRST BOOK, INNATE IDEAS. SECOND BOOK, OF SPACE.

First Book of the Essay on the Human Understanding. Of innate ideas .-Second Book. Experience, the source of all ideas. Sensation and reflection .- Of the operations of the mind. According to Locke, they are exercised only upon sensible data. Basis of sensualism.—Examination of the doctrine of Locke concerning the idea of space. -That the idea of space, in the system of Locke, should be reduced and is reduced to that of body.-This confusion is contradicted by facts and by Locke himself. Distinction of the actual characters of the ideas of body and of space. - Examination of the question of the origin of the idea of space. Distinction between the logical order and the chronological order of our ideas.-The idea of space is the logical condition of the idea of body; the idea of body is the chronological condition of the idea of space.-Of reason and experience, considered in turn as the reciprocal condition of their mutual development .-Merit of Locke's system.-Its vices: 1st, it confounds the measure or space with space; 2d, the condition of the idea of space with this idea 

#### LECTURE XVIII.

#### LECTURE XIX.

ESSAY, SECOND BOOK. OF THE IDEA OF CAUSE.

Continuation of the examination of the Second Book of the Essay on the Human Understanding. Of the idea of cause.—Refutation of the theory which puts the origin of the idea of cause in the sensation.—Origin of the idea of cause in reflection, in the sentiment of the will.—Distinction between the idea of cause and the principle of causality. That the principle of causality is inexplicable by the sentiment of the will alone.—Of the true formation of the principle of causality.

245

#### LECTURE XX.

ESSAY, SECOND BOOK. OF GOOD AND EVIL. THIRD BOOK, OF WORDS.

#### LECTURE XXI.

ESSAY, FOURTH BOOK. THEORY OF REPRESENTATIVE IDEAS.

#### LECTURE XXII.

ESSAY, FOURTH BOOK. REPRESENTATIVE IDEAS CONTINUED.

#### LECTURE XXIII.

#### ESSAY, THEORY OF JUDGMENT.

#### LECTURE XXIV.

ESSAY, FOURTH BOOK. CONTINUATION OF THE THEORY OF JUDGMENT.

#### LECTURE XXV.

ESSAY, LIBERTY. SOUL. GOD. CONCLUSION.

#### LECTURE IX.

#### SCHOLASTIC PHILOSOPHY.\*

Scholastic Philosophy.—Its character and its origin.—Division of Scholasticism into three epochs.—First epoch.—Second epoch.—Third epoch. Birth of philosophical independence; quarrel of nominalism and realism, which represent idealism and sensualism in Scholasticism.—John Oceam. His partisans and his adversaries.—Decrial of the two systems and of Scholasticism.—Mysticism.—Chancellor Gerson. His Mystic Theology. Extracts from this work.—Conclusion.

HITHERTO, both in India and in Greece, we have constantly seen philosophy spring from religion; and at the same time we have seen that it springs not from it at once, that a single day is not enough for it to raise itself from the humble submission by which it begins, to the absolute independence in which it terminates. Hitherto we have seen it passing through an epoch, somewhat preparatory, therein trying its forces in the service of a foreign principle, reduced to the modest employment of governing and regulating creeds which it did not establish, in expectation of the moment when it shall be able to search out truth itself at its own risk and peril. Modern philosophy presents the same phenomenon. It is also preceded by an epoch which serves it as an introduction, and, thus to speak, as a vestibule. This epoch is scholasticism. As the middle age is the cradle of modern society, so scholasticism is that of modern philosophy. What the middle age is to the new society, scholasticism is to

<sup>\*</sup> These outlines of the entire system of Scholastic philosophy need to be strengthened and in some points rectified by study more limited but more solid than may be found in the *Introduction* of a work entitled: (Euvres inédites d'Abélard, Paris 1836, in-4. This Introduction, with some additions, forms the 3d volume of the Fragments philosophiques.