

nulla inter ipsos foret beatitudinis inæqualitas; sed non omnes beati sunt in beatitudine pares: ergo quia non omnes cognoscunt ea, quæ beatitudini inserviunt.

51. Respondet negando Consequentiam, & probationis Majorem. Nam rectè cohæret inæqualitas beatitudinis in patriâ, unâ cum cognitione perfectâ, & intuitivâ omnium, quæ ad ipsam beatitudinem spectant. Omnes enim beati clare intuentur cunctas Dei perfectiones, tametsi modus cognoscendi sit inæqualis, quia beatior clariùs, perfectius, & intensius intuetur ea omnia, quæ minus beatus remissius videt: Unde, et si in modo videndi different, inæqualesque censeantur; tamen de Deo non cognoscit unus beatorum magis, quam alius.

52. Secundum dubium sic in litterâ à Magistro proponitur: An, sicut in cognitionis claritate differunt beati; sic in gaudio dispare sint? Et respondet Magister affirmativè. Quia gaudium quanquam æquale fit omnium, quoad id in quibus gaudent; non tamen omnium est æquè intensum gaudium, & beatitudo. Quod etiam aliquibus rationibus suadere pertinetat.

53. Primo: Quia beatorum cognitio non est par in omnibus beatis: ergo nec eorum gaudium erit æquale in omnibus. Antecedens constat ex superioris dubii resolutione. Consequentia probatur: Majus aut minus gaudium consequitur ex intensiori, aut minus intensâ cognitione bonitatis objecti, tanquam ex conditione necessariâ; sed beatorum cognitio non est æquè intensa in omnibus: ergo nec illorum gaudium erit æquè intensum in omnibus.

54. Secundo: Gaudium beatorum est proprietas consequens beatitudinem, tanquam principium à quo necessariò profluit: ergo æquilitas, aut inæqualitas gaudii mensurabitur cum æqualitate, aut inæqualitate beatitudinis; sed beatitudo formalis non est par in omnibus beatis: ergo nec beati omnes erunt pares in gaudio ab ipsâ proveniente.

55. Tertiò: Sicut omnes beati asequuntur Deum, qui est summum, & infinitum bonum, visione, & fruitione beatâ; sic omnes gaudent bono illo summo, & infinito asequunto per visionem, & fruitionem; sed licet omnes asequantur Deum, non tamen omnes eodem modo; sed alii majori, alii minori intensione asequuntur: ergo quavis de Deo asequento per visionem, & fruitionem omnes exultent, non tamen eodem modo; sed alii intensiori, alii minus intenso gaudio mente perfundunt.

56. Sed oppones ex Augustino in litterâ hom. 67. in Joan. dicente: In dispari claritate erit beatis par gaudium. Ergo ut beatorum gaudium

dium sit par in omnibus, non officit, quod eorum visio sit dispar; sed idèo beatorum gaudium non est par in omnibus, quia secundum Magistrum, eorum visio est dispar: ergo si visionis disparitas cohæret in beatis cum gaudii paritate, nullum reverâ supereft congruum fundatum ad stautendam inæqualitatem gaudii.

57. Respondet Magister Augustini mentem exponendo, nempè, ipsum alloquitum fuisse de paritate gaudii quoad objectum, non quoad intentionem. Quia licet beati omnes eisdem gaudeant bonis, non tamen suppari affectu, & intentione fruentur: Quemadmodum de illorum beatitudine supra dicebamus; quia et si omnes eodem fruantur bono, & idcirco beatitudo quoad objectum sit par in omnibus; nontamen quoad formale illius, sive quoad intentionem, eo quod dispari actu affectu, & intentione assuecat. Ethicæ de secundâ distinctionis parte sufficiant.

58. PRO TERTIAE antem, & ultimæ distinctionis partis pleno captu, hoc tertium statuitur theorema: Beatitudo Sanctorum maior erit post judicium, quam modò est. Quod propriè, & genuinè deducitur ex Magistro, qui ita in litterâ affatur: Sine omni scrupulo credendum est, eos habituros majorem gloriam post judicium, quam ante. Et nonnullis rationibus, & Patrum autoritatibus suum præmunit assumptum.

59. Primo probat Magister per Hieronymum sup. cap. 6. Oferat dictum: Per acto judicio, ampliorem gloriam sue claritatis Deus demonstrabit electis. Ergo quia ante judicium non demonstrat Deus electis ampliorem gloriam sue claritatis; sed dum modo non ostendit, & per acto judicio ostendet, major erit Sanctorum beatitudo post judicium, quam modò est: ergo indubie post judicium major erit.

60. Secundò: Vi societatis toti justorum, major erit tunc eorum gaudium, quam modò est; sed major aut minus gaudium oritur ex maiori aut minori beatitudine: ergo si beatorum gaudium futurum erit major post judicium, quam modò est; & eorum beatitudo, à qua gaudium procedit, necessariò erit.

61. Tertiò: Beati de pluribus gaudebunt post judicium, quam nunc: ergo quia eorum beatitudo tunc erit major extensivè, quam modò est. Antecedens probatur: Beati gaudebunt post judicium de gloria animæ, & corporis, simulque omnium salvandorum felicissimâ sorte; sed modò solum de gloriâ animæ, & non corporis, nec omnium salvandorum gloriâ gaudent: ergo quia eorum beatitudo tunc erit major extensivè, quam nunc est.

62. Quartò: Plena, perfectaque beatitudo Sanctorum cunctum rationalis naturæ undique appetitum satiat; sed rationalis anima appetit

naturāliter uniri corpori: ergo cūm hic sit appetitus naturalis, & non possit expleri nisi per præfatum ad corpus nexus, impossibile erit, animū perfectē beari quoisque resumat corpus: ergo si hoc tunc haud dubiè continget, gloria eorum erit major post judicium, quam modō est.

63. Sed oppones: Tanta gratia est in animā corpore exutā, quantā gratiæ capax erit corpori conjunctā: ergo tanta gloria modō est in animā exutā corpore, quantā post judicium gloriæ capax erit corpori conjunctā: ergo non ampliorem gloriam suā claritatis demonstrabit tunc Deus electis, quām modō ostendit.

64. Respondet concessio Antecedenti, negando duplē Consequentiam. Quia quanquā idem sit actus beatificus in animā exutā corpore, ac postquam ipsi est unita, nihilominus beatitudo Sanctorum augebitur, aut propter maiorem extensionem ad corpus, cui associabitur, aut intensiorem, cūm tunc sit omnino explendus appetitus respectū administrationis corporis, qui nunc retardare videtur, nē tam intense moveatur, sive propendatur in Deum.

65. Postremò in distinctionis calce inquirit Magister. Quid opus sit spiritibus defunctorum corpora sua in resurrectione recipere, si eis potest sine corporibus summa beatitudo præberi? Et respondet Magister: Difficilis questio est, nec potest à nobis perfecte diffiniri. Tamen nonnullas obiter congruentias adducit in litterā, ut hoc sic contingere debeat.

66. Primo, quia sine corporibus non possunt animæ intueri Deum, sicut Angeli vident, propter aliquam latentem causam; vel quia appetitus naturalis informandi, & administrandi corpus non omnino satiat, sed retardat aliquantulum, nē omni intensione, & conamine toto anima pergaat, & propendatur in Deum.

67. Secundo, ob ampliorem gloriam suā claritatis, quam Deus suis electis post corporum reunionem ostendet. Tertio, quia Sanctorum gloria erit major post judicium, quām modō est, ut expendebamus supra: ergo valdè consentaneum rationi videtur, ut rationales animæ sua corpora in resurrectione recipient, quanvis sine illis valeat Deus summam beatitudinem ipsis præbere. Et hæc de tertia, & ultima distinctionis parte satis superque sint dicta.

Q U A E S T I O N E S.

68. **Q**UÆSTIO 1. In quo consistat nostra beatitudo objectiva? Ex Angelicâ Scholâ D. Thomas in 4. dist. 49. q. 1. art. 1. & seqq.

Go-

Godoy tom. 1. p. 2. disp. 5. §. 1. & seqq. Salmant. tom. 3. tract. 9. q. 2. art. 1. & seqq. Gonetus tom. 3. tract. 1. disp. 2. art. 1. & seqq. Modest. à S. Gregorio tom. 1. q. 2. art. 1. & seqq. Cruz tom. 3. disp. 8. q. 2. art. 8. dub. 2. Raynerius de Pisis tom. 1. tit. 2. cap. 1. §. 1. & seqq. Ferre tract. 2. de beatitudine, q. 1. §. 1. Joan. à S. Thomâ tom. 1. disp. 12. art. 2. Labat tom. 3. disp. 2. dub. 1. resol. 1.

69. Ex Subtili Scholâ Scotus in 4. dist. 49. q. 2. Brancatus tom. 4. disp. 14. art. 1. & seqq. Poncius tract. 5. disp. 19. q. 2. Sanning tom. 2. dist. 1. q. 1. Castillent. in Polyanth. Seraph. verb. beatitudo, diff. 1. num. 1. & seqq. Hiquetus in 4. dist. 49. art. 1. Aquila in 4. dist. 49. q. 1. Bonau. Columbus in Philos. moral. lib. 2. q. 4. art. 1. Mastrius in 1. disp. 6. q. 1. & seqq. Smising tom. 1. disp. 6. q. 1. & seqq. Baron tom. 4. apolog. 3. de vis. act. 1. & seqq.

70. Ex Scholâ Eximiâ Suarez tom. 1. lib. 2. de Attrib. cap. 20. & seqq. Arriaga tom. 2. disp. 47. & 48. Carleton tom. 1. disp. 83. sect. 2. Tannerus disp. 1. q. 2. dub. 1. Rhôdes tom. 1. disp. 1. de beat. q. 2. sect. 1. Oviedus tract. 1. de beat. Controv. 2. punct. 3. Thyrsus tom. 3. tract. de beat. disp. ult. sect. 4. Beccanus de vis. cap. 9. q. 1. & seqq. Avendaño tom. 1. problem. 11. §. 1. & seqq. Izquierdo tom. 1. disp. 21. q. 1. Aldrete tom. 1. tract. 1. disp. 12. sect. 1. & seqq.

71. Ex aliis D. Bonaventura in 4. dist. 49. art. 1. q. 1. Gaudentius tom. 4. tract. 1. de beat. disp. 2. q. 2. Blasco tom. 1. lib. 25. dist. 99. q. 1. & 2. Eaudunius tom. 2. tract. 2. art. unic. Aversa q. 12. sect. 10. & seqq. Lalemandet tom. 1. disp. 6. de vis. part. 4. Morand. tract. 2. q. 12. & seqq.

72. QUÆST. 2. An, & à quo desumatur inæqualitas visionis beatæ? Ex Angelicâ Scholâ D. Thomas in 4. dist. 49. q. 3. art. 1. & q. 22. de verit. art. 7. Reding tom. 1. Controv. 4. q. 4. art. 6. Joan. à S. Thomâ 1. p. tom. 1. disp. 2. q. 4. sect. 2. Labat disp. 6. de vis. dub. 6. Contenson tom. 1. diff. 6. cap. 2. Ferre tom. 1. tract. de vis. q. 8. §. 1. & seqq. Gonetus tom. 1. tract. 2. disp. 4. art. 2. Lezana tract. 3. disp. 1. q. 1. p. 2.

73. Ex Subtili Scholâ Scotus in 3. dist. 13. q. 3. & in 4. dist. 50. q. 6. Vulpes disp. 23. art. 13. Mastrius in 1. disp. 6. q. 7. art. 1. & seqq. Faber in 3. disp. 23. cap. 2. Smising tom. 1. disp. 6. q. 6. Gallus disp. 2. sect. 3. cap. 3. Poncius disp. 4. q. 10. Hiquetus in 4. dist. 49. Perez Lopez tom. 1. dist. 7. q. 8. art. 4. Cattiere tom. 1. art. 12. q. 14. & 15. Frasson tom. 1. aisp. 3. art. 7. sect. 3. q. 2. Brancatus tom. 4. disp. 10. art. 8. Sanning tom. 1. dist. 4. q. 7. Columbus in Philos. moral. lib. 2. q. 4. art. 5. dub. 2. Baron tom. 4. apolog. 4. de vis. art. 1.

Ex.

74. Ex Scholâ Eximiâ Suarez lib. 2. de Attrib. divin. cap. 27. Amicus disp. 19. sect. 16. Arriaga de vis. disp. 12. sect. 1. & seqq. Oviedo Controv. 4. p. 2. num. 26. Valentia q. 12. p. 4. Tannerus disp. 2. q. 6. dub. 5. Turrian. disp. 6. dub. 6. Avendaño tom. 1. problem. 11. §. 1. & seqq. Carleton tom. 1. disp. 16. sect. 1. & 2. Maurus tom. 1. tract. 3. de vis. q. 33. Aldrete tom. 1. tract. 1. disp. 12. sect. 1. Rhodès tom. 1. q. 4. sect. 2. §. 1. Quiròs tract. 3. de vis. disp. 29. sect. 1. & seqq.
75. Ex aliis D. Bonaventura in 4. dist. 49. q. 3. art. 1. & seqq. Gaudentius tom. 1. tract. 1. de Deo uno, disp. 11. q. 17. Aversa q. 12. sect. 12. Lusitanus lib. 12. q. 10. art. 3. §. 3. Caspensi tom. 1. de vis. disp. 4. sect. 8. Morand. tract. 2. q. 14. Blasco tom. 1. lib. 24. dist. 95. q. 2. La Moneda tom. 1. q. 12. art. 6. dub. 3. Baudun. tom. 1. q. 12. art. 8. Lalemandet tom. 1. de vis. disp. 6. p. 5.
76. QUÆST. 3. An detur appetitus naturalis efficax ad visionem supernam? Ex Angelicâ Scholâ D. Thomas in 4. dist. 49. q. 1. art. 3. & q. 22. de verit. art. 7. Godoy tom. 1. in 1. p. disp. 8. §. 1. & seqq. Medina 1. 2. q. 6. art. 2. Cayetanus 1. 2. q. 63. art. 3. Labat tom. 1. disp. 4. dub. 1. §. 1. Joan. à S. Thomâ tom. 1. p. 1. q. 12. disp. 12. art. 3. Cruz tom. 1. disp. 55. q. 12. Modest. à S. Gregorio tom. 1. q. 5. art. 8. lect. 1. & seqq. Prado 1. 2. q. 3. art. 8. dub. 2. conclus. 7. Curiel 1. 2. q. 109. art. 1. dub. 3. §. 4.
77. Ex Subtili Scholâ Scotus q. 1. prolog. & in 4. dist. 49. q. 9. Gallus in 3. disp. 14. pralud. 3. disp. 2. q. 2. Perez Lopez tom. 1. dist. 7. q. princ. art. unic. Matrius in 1. disp. 6. q. 6. de vis. disp. 6. sect. 3. & 4. Sanning tom. 1. dist. 4. q. 1. Poncios tract. 1. disp. 4. q. 3. Brancatus tom. 4. disp. 15. art. 1. & seqq. Baron tom. 4. apolog. 3. de vis. art. 1. & seqq. Columbus in Philoso. moral. lib. 2. q. 4. art. 3. dub. 1. & seqq. Hiquæus in 4. dist. 49. q. 7. art. 1. Castillent. in Polyanth. Seraph. verb. visio, num. 7. & seqq. Aretinus in 3. dist. 14. q. 2. art. 1.
78. Ex Scholâ Eximiâ Suarez lib. 2. de Attrib. negat. cap. 7. Vazquez 1. 2. disp. 22. cap. 2. Beccan. tract. 1. cap. 9. q. 4. Arriaga de vis. disp. 6. sect. 3. & 4. Alarcon tract. de vis. disp. 1. cap. 3. Granados 1. 2. Controv. 1. de ult. fin. disp. 5. sect. 1. Ripalda lib. 1. de ent. supern. disp. 14. sect. 1. & lib. 3. disp. 45. Quiròs tract. 3. de vis. disp. 22. sect. 4. Oviedus de beat. tract. 1. Controv. 5. punct. 3. Valentia 1. 2. q. 5. art. 8.
79. Ex aliis D. Bonaventura in 4. dist. 49. q. 3. art. 1. & seqq. Anton. Perez in Laur. Salmant. certam. 5. dub. 1. & 2. Caspensi tom. 1. disp. 1. de vis. sect. 4. Moneda tom. 1. q. 12. art. 1. dub. 3. Blasco tom. 1. lib. 24. dist. 49. q. 2. art. 2. Morand. tract. 2. q. 14. Gaudentius tom.

1. tract. 1. de Deo uno, disp. 11. q. 18. Lalemandet tom. 1. disp. 6. de vis. p. 4. & seqq. Baudunius tom. 1. q. 12. art. 7.
80. QUÆST. 4. An in Deo omnes creaturas possibles valeant beati intueri? Ex Angelicâ Scholâ D. Thomas 2. 2. q. 83. art. 4. Godoy tom. 1. in 1. p. disp. 21. q. 12. §. 1. Lezana tract. 3. disp. 3. q. 5. La bat tom. 1. disp. 4. dub. 10. Gonetus tom. 1. tract. 2. disp. 5. art. 5. §. 1. & seqq. Joannes à S. Thomâ tom. 1. disp. 15. art. 3. & seqq. Reding tom. 1. Controv. 2. q. 4. art. 5. Ferre tom. 1. tract. de vis. q. 11. Contenson tom. 1. dissert. 6. specul. 3. Cruz tom. 1. disp. 62. q. 12. dub. 1. §. 7.
81. Ex Subtili Scholâ Scotus q. 3. prolog. & in 4. dist. 49. q. 4. Matrius in 1. disp. 6. q. 9. Poncios disp. 4. q. 7. conclus. 7. Smising tract. 2. disp. 8. q. 8. Gallus in 3. dist. 14. art. 1. cap. 5. Vulpes disp. 25. art. 3. Carriere tom. 1. art. 12. q. 12. Frassen tom. 1. disp. 3. art. 7. sect. 3. q. 2. Baron tom. 4. apolog. ult. de vis. art. 3. Brancatus de Lauræa tom. 4. disp. 19. art. 5. & seqq. Sanning tom. 1. dist. 4. q. 10. Castillent. in Polyant. Seraph. verb. visio, num. 7. & seqq. Aretinus in 3. dist. 14. q. 2. art. 1.
82. Ex Scholâ Eximiâ Suarez lib. 2. de Attrib. divin. cap. 27. Izquierdo tom. 1. disp. 20. q. 4. Carleton tom. 1. disp. 18. sect. 6. & seqq. Rhodès tom. 1. disp. 3. sect. 1. §. 1. & 2. Quiròs tract. 3. de vis. disp. 23. sect. 2. Thrysus tom. 1. disp. 5. sect. 1. Amicus disp. 9. sect. 22. Arriaga disp. 13. sect. 1. Oviedus p. 2. tract. 1. Controv. 4. punct. 5. Lugo disp. 19. de Incarn. sect. 2. Vazquez disp. 49. & 53. Avendaño tom. 1. problem. 13. §. 1. Maurus tom. 1. tract. 3. de vis. q. 38. Aldrete tom. 1. tract. 1. disp. 4. sect. 1. & 2.
83. Ex aliis D. Bonaventura in 4. dist. 49. q. 3. art. 1. Aversa q. 12. sect. 25. Gaudent. tom. 1. tract. 1. de Deo uno, disp. 11. sect. 24. Alens. 3. p. q. 13. num. 7. Caspensi. de vis. disp. 5. sect. 4. Blasco tom. 1. lib. 24. dist. 96. q. 2. art. 1. §. 4. Lalemandet tom. 1. disp. 6. de vis. part. 6. Baudun. tom. 1. q. 13. art. 8. & seqq. Morand. tract. 2. q. 15.
84. QUÆST. 5. An beati possint comprehendere Deum? Ex Angelicâ Scholâ D. Thomas in 4. dist. 49. q. 3. art. 4. Godoy tom. 2. in 3. p. disp. 31. §. 5. Gonetus tom. 1. tract. 2. disp. 4. art. 3. Ferre tom. 1. tract. de vis. q. 9. §. 1. Contenson tom. 1. dissert. 5. cap. 2. Cruz tom. 1. disp. 61. q. 12. dub. unic. Modest. à S. Gregorio tom. 1. q. 4. lect. unic. art. 3. Lezana disp. 1. de vis. dub. 6. Lumbier de vis. Dei, num. 638. q. 13. Joannes à S. Thomâ tom. 1. disp. 15. art. 8. Labat tom. 1. disp. 4. dub. 7.
85. Ex Subtili Scholâ Scotus in 3. dist. 14. q. 2. & in 4. dist. 49.

- q. 3. art. 1. Mastrius *disp.* 6. q. 9. num. 252. Brancatus *tom.* 4. *disp.* 17.
 art. 5. Vulpes *tom.* 2. p. 1. *dist.* 24. Carriere *tom.* 1. *art.* 12. q. 11. Frassen
tom. 1. *disp.* 3. *art.* ult. *sect.* 3. q. 1. Sanning *tom.* 1. *dist.* 4. q. 11. & seqq.
 Aretinus in 3. *dist.* 14. q. 3. *art.* 1. Baron *tom.* 4. *apolog.* ult. de *vis.* *art.*
 4. Castillent. in *Polyanth.* Seraph. verb. *visio*, num. 7. & seqq.
 86. Ex Scholâ Eximiâ Suarez *lib.* 2. de *Attrib.* *cap.* 29. Arriaga
tom. 2. *disp.* 5. *art.* 5. & *tract.* de *vis.* *disp.* 13. *sect.* 1. & seqq. Aven-
 dadio *tom.* 1. *problem.* 12. §. 1. & seqq. Montoya *disp.* 4. de *scient.* *sect.*
 2. Borrull de *vis.* *disp.* 19. *sect.* 1. Quirós *tract.* 3. de *vis.* *disp.* 30. *sect.*
 1. Thyrsus *tom.* 1. *disp.* 5. *sect.* 1. Muniella *tom.* 1. *disp.* 17. *sect.* 1. Rho-
 des *tom.* 1. *disp.* 2. q. 4. *sect.* 3. Carleton *tom.* 1. *disp.* 20. Valentia
disp. 1. q. 12. *punct.* 5. Aldrete *tom.* 1. *tract.* 1. *disp.* 4. *sect.* 6.
 87. Ex aliis D. Bohaventura in 1. *dist.* 3. p. 1. q. 1. & in 3. *dist.* 14.
 q. 2. Blasco *tom.* 1. *lib.* 24. *dist.* 95. q. 3. Gaudentius *tom.* 1. *tract.* 1. de
 Deo uno, *disp.* 11. q. 27. Trigosus q. 7. *art.* 1. *dub.* 7. Caspensis *tom.* 1.
disp. 5. de *vis.* *sect.* 5. Baudunius q. 12. *art.* 2. *disp.* 1. La Moneda
tom. 1. q. 12. *art.* 9. *dub.* 10. Lalemandet *tom.* 1. *disp.* 7. de *vis.* *sect.* 1.
 p. 1. Pasqualig *tom.* 1. *disp.* 50. *sect.* 1.
 88. QUÆST. 6. An in statu gloriæ, sive beatorum sorte sine Sacra-
 menta? Ex Angelicâ Scholâ Salmanticensis *tom.* 11. *disp.* 3. *dub.* 6.
 §. 5. & seqq. S. Antoninus 3. p. *fun.* tit. 14. *cap.* 11. §. 4. Capreolus in
 4. *dist.* 10. q. 4. *art.* 3. Paludan. *dist.* 1. q. 3. *conclus.* 6. Argentinâ q. 2.
art. 2. *conclus.* 3. Sotus q. 2. *art.* 2. Prado q. 61. *dub.* 5. num. 9. Gon-
 tus *tom.* 5. *disp.* 2. *art.* 4. num. 108. Cabrera in *Comment.* *art.* 4. num.
 5. Lumbier de *Inearn.* q. 36. num. 14.
 89. Ex Subtili Scholâ Castillentus in *Polyanth.* Seraph. verb. Sa-
 cramentum, a num. 7. & seqq. Faber in 4. *dist.* 1. q. 3. *disp.* 4. *cap.* 3.
 Nicolaus de Nise *tract.* 3. *resol.* *theolog.* p. 3. q. 3. Aquila in 4. *dist.* 1.
 q. 1. *art.* 1. & 2. Cotonius *tom.* 1. *lib.* 4. *Controv.* 1. *cap.* 1. *art.* 1. Ba-
 roa *tom.* 5. *Apolog.* de *Sacram.* *art.* 7. & seqq.
 90. Ex Scholâ Eximiâ Henriquez *lib.* 1. de *Sacram.* *cap.* 6. §. 8. &
cap. 11. §. 8. Hennao in *Empireolog.* *lib.* 7. *exercit.* 24. *sect.* 2. num.
 13. Quintanadueñas *tom.* 2. *tract.* 2. *singul.* 2. Nierembergius *lib.* 8. de
orig. Sacra Script. *cap.* 34.
 91. Ex aliis Sylveira *tom.* 5. *lib.* 9. *cap.* 3. q. 15. num. 98. Castillo
 de Ornati. Aaron. *vers.* 18. *illat.* 146. num. 31. Sayrus *lib.* 3. de *Sa-*
cram. *cap.* 6. q. unic. Egidius Romanus 2. *p.* *Exameron.* *cap.* 41. Aver-
 sa q. 61. de *Sacram.* *sect.* 2.
 92. QUÆST. 7. An sit possibilis species impressa Trinitatis? De
 quo, *tom.* 1. *dist.* 3. q. 8.

93. QUÆST. 8. In quo beatitudo formalis consistat, an in visio-
 ne, an in amore? De quo, *tom.* 1. *dist.* 1. q. 5.
 94. QUÆST. 9. An beati possint videre essentiam divinam sine
 attributis, aut unam personam sine aliâ? De quo, *tom.* 1. *dist.* 1. q. 10.
 95. QUÆST. 10. An beatitudo consistat in actu intellectus, aut
 voluntatis? De quo, *tom.* 1. *dist.* 1. 4.
 96. QUÆST. 11. An potentia visiva materialis possit divinitus in-
 tueri Deum? De quo, *tom.* 1. *dist.* 3. q. 9.
 97. QUÆST. 12. An, & quid sit dos impassibilitatis? De quo,
dist. 44. *quest.* 3.
 98. QUÆST. 13. An, & quid sit claritatis dos? De quo, *dist.* 44.
quest. 4.
 99. QUÆST. 14. An, & quid sit agilitatis dos? De quo, *dist.* 44. q. 5.
 100. QUÆST. 15. An, & quid sit subtilitatis dos? De quo, *dist.*
 44. *quest.* 6.

DE STATU REPROBORUM.

DISTINCTIONIS QUINQUAGESIMÆ SYNOPSIS.

Non poterunt, erit hircus ubi desectus ab agno.

Vel bene velle mali, vel male velle boni.

Sic incipit: Hie oritur quastio.

Sic terminat: Cum viderit vindictam.

¶ Conneccitur hæc ultima distinctione cum superiori. In illâ egit.
 Magister de beatorum gloriâ; sed in præsenti consentaneâ iis
 determinat de damnatorum poenâ: ergo egregiam inter se hæc distinc-
 tiones methodum servant.

2. Consequentia probatur: Juxta ordinem præfixum à Diyo Mat-
 thæo cap. 25. servandumque in universali judicio, priùs conferet Deus
 præmium electis, quâm reprobis fulminabit supplicium: ergo secundum
 rectam differendi seriem, priùs erit à beatorum gloriâ, quâm à
 reproborum poena incipiendum; sed Magister in superiori distinctione