

On the basis of the continuous sliding-mode control described above, the resulting the composite control is given by

$$u = - \left[ \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x} g(x) \right]^{-1} \left[ \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x} f(x) + L\sigma(x - x^*) \right]. \quad (9)$$

When the composite control (9) is applied to (3), one obtains the closed-loop nonlinear system

$$\dot{x} = f_e(x, x^*) + p(x, x^*) \quad (10)$$

where

$$f_e(x, x^*) = \left\{ I_{n \times n} - g(x) \left[ \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x} g(x) \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x} \right) \right\} f(x).$$

and

$$p(x, x^*) = -g(x) \left[ \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x} g(x) \right]^{-1} L\sigma(x - x^*).$$

Now, in order to study the stability properties of the closed-loop system, we introduce the following assumption.

**Assumption 1.** *The equilibrium point  $x^*$  of  $\dot{x} = f_e(x, x^*)$  is locally exponentially stable.*

By use of Lyapunov's converse theorem (see Khalil, 1996), Assumption 1 ensures the existence of a Lyapunov function  $V(e)$  with  $e = x - x^*$  which satisfies the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial V(e)}{\partial e} \right\| &\leq \alpha_4 \|e\|, \quad \alpha_1 \|e\|^2 \leq V(e) \leq \alpha_2 \|e\|^2 \\ \frac{\partial V(e)}{\partial e} \{ f_e(e + x^*, x^*) + p(e + x^*, x^*) \} &\leq -\alpha_3 \|e\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

for some positive constants  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  and  $\alpha_4$ .

Let consider  $V(e)$  as a Lyapunov function candidate to investigate the stability of the origin  $e = 0$  as an equilibrium point for the system (10). From both Assumption 1 and equation (11), the time derivative of  $V$  along the trajectories of (10) satisfies

$$\dot{V}(e) \leq -\alpha_3 \|e\|^2 \quad (12)$$

then the system (10) is exponentially stable.

The Lyapunov function candidate  $V$  is instrumental to investigate the stability properties of the closed-loop system obtained when the composite control  $u$  is used. Then the following proposition can be stated.

**Proposition 1:** *Consider the nonlinear system (3) for which a composite control (5), (6), (7) is designed such that Assumption 1 is satisfied. Then, the closed-loop nonlinear system (10) is locally exponentially stable.*

#### 4. Hamiltonian controller design

Now we derive an excitation controller using the methodology based on the notions of energy function and port-controlled Hamiltonian systems (PCHS).

We consider the following affine nonlinear system

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= f(x) + g(x)u \\ y &= h(x) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the state vector of the system,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is the control vector and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$  is the output vector. In this paper we are interested in the class of systems that can be equivalently represented in a Hamiltonian form with dissipative terms in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= (\mathcal{J}(x) - \mathcal{R}(x)) \frac{\partial H^T}{\partial x} + g(x)u \\ y &= g^T(x) \frac{\partial H^T}{\partial x} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where  $x, u, y$  are the energy variables,  $H(x_1, \dots, x_n) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  represents the total stored energy and the interconnection structure is captured in the  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathcal{J}(x)$  and the  $n \times m$  matrix  $g(x)$ . The matrix  $\mathcal{J}(x)$  is skew-symmetric, i.e.

$$\mathcal{J}(x) = -\mathcal{J}^T(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and  $\mathcal{R}(x)$  is a non-negative symmetric matrix depending on  $x$ , i.e.

$$\mathcal{R}(x) = \mathcal{R}^T(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The main advantage of this kind of representation is that the total energy function can be considered as a Lyapunov function. Moreover, from (14), we obtain the power-balance equation

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \mathcal{R}(x) \frac{\partial H^T}{\partial x} + u^T y$$

with  $u^T y$  the power externally supplied to the system and  $-\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \mathcal{R}(x) \frac{\partial H^T}{\partial x}$  representing the energy-dissipation due to the resistive elements. As it is well known (see Maschke et al., 1998), the equality above establishes the passivity properties of the system in the following sense.

**Definition 1:** *System (13) is passive with respect the output  $y = h(x)$  if there exists a smooth non-negative function  $H(x)$ , such that  $H(0) = 0$  and the following inequality holds*

$$H(x(t)) - H(x(0)) \leq \int_0^t u(s)y(s)ds. \quad (15)$$

If in addition, the system satisfies the detectability properties stated in the next definition

**Definition 2:** *The system (13) is zero-state detectable if  $u(t) = 0, y(t) = 0 \forall t \geq 0$ , implies that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ .*

Then it is possible to formulate the following result, that is fundamental concerning the stability properties of the considered class of systems.